

LEROY B. YOUNG  
LAWYER  
FIRST NATL BANK BLDG.  
BRIGHAM CITY, UTAH

#2.Br. of Par.

Mr. Angle, it appears, immediately after conviction and sentence and while out on bond pending appeal, married some young lady from this county, and she gave birth to a child a few days prior to the time when the Supre Court affirmed the decision of the lower court. Of course Mr. Angle is using this as a means of asking for clemency. It is regrettable that he married this girl with a ten year sentence standing over his head.

Mr. Angle has inferred to me on a number of occasions that he is not guilty, but that he was somewhat a victim of circumstances. I do not know whether or not this is correct.

I am sure in my own mind that Mr. Monroe is guilty of the offense charged. I have no recommendation whatsoever to make to the Board regarding this matter. Mr. Angle and his attorney very persistently insisted that I give him the suspended sentence. This I did not feel like doing and told him that I felt that it was a matter which rested entirely with the Board of Pardons. His wife then asked me if I would sign a petition for a pardon. I told her that I would not do that either, but that I would not oppose the application if it were made. That is, I mean by that, I am willing to leave the matter entirely in the hands of the Board of Pardons to do as you see fit.

I cannot help but feel that the application of Mr. Monroe should, at this time, be denied. Mr. Angle, however, is situated just a little different than Mr. Monroe.

Regarding William B. Eaton - beg to advise that Mr. Eaton pleaded guilty to a charge of burglary in the third degree, at Moab, Grand County, while I was acting as District Attorney of the Seventh Judicial District in April of the present year. In 1913 Mr. Eaton pleaded guilty to the same charge <sup>on a burglary charge</sup> in Brigham City and was sentenced to four years, in the State Prison. It appears that after serving sometime he was released and then got into this trouble again. In fact from his own frank statement it would appear that he has spent a major portion of his time in jail for various offenses. He claimed to me that he was going straight until he got full of liquor on the night in question, and that it was while under the influence of liquor that he committed the burglary charged against him. From his own statement it was probably burglary in the second degree as it was a little after dark when he entered the cabin in question and stole a revolver and some articles of clothing. However, we were unable to prove that it was in the night time that he entered the cabin, and for that reason I permitted him to plead guilty to burglary in the third degree.