

THE COURT: I think before the court makes a recommendation that the Court ought to make some inquiry in to that and find out from the girl and from her father some additional facts. I am not satisfied merely to take a one sided view of it. However, the court can pronounce sentence now. There is no reason why that should not be done.

Louis P. Sorensen, You have been charged with the information of the District Attorney with the crime of carnal knowledge, having sexual intercourse with a girl ~~under~~ over the age of 13 years and under the age of 18 years in Salt Lake County in this state on the 25th day of July 1923, the female named being Bernice Brown, the charge being that you did carnally and unlawfully know and abuse, the said Bernice Brown being then and there an unmarried female and not the wife of the said Louis P. Sorensen. To the charge contained in this information you have pleaded that you are guilty and this is the time appointed for the pronouncement of sentence and judgment of the Court. The Court has already advised you and that there may be no mistake about it the Court will repeat that the punishment for this offense is imprisonment in the State Prison for any time not exceeding five years and it might be as little as one day. From that on up.

Have you any reason to state to the Court why the Court should not now pronounce sentence and judgment against you.

THE DEFENDANT: I understand it is a felonious charge whether the girl gives her consent or not?